

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,659		01/31/2002	Mark Philip D'Evelyn	RD-26623	1467	
6147	7590	12/23/2004		EXAMINER		
		TRIC COMPANY	ANDERSON, MATTHEW A			
GLOBAL I PATENT I		CH RM. BLDG. K1-4A59		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAYU				1765		
-			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/683,659	D'EVELYN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this statutory period for reply expires on the statutory period for reply expires on the statutory period for reply expires on the statutory period for repl	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TIES date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: The newly added claims require further of	consideration and/or search.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• •	,
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.		
Claim(s) objected to: 8-10,21-23,28,29,33,42,43,50-	52,63-65,70 and 71.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,11-20,24-27,30-32,41,43-49,5</u>	3-62,66- <u>69,72-74 and 76-80</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	M 111
10. Other:	el	NADINE G. NORTON IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

MAA December 16, 2004